LIFE CYCLE COST ANALYSIS OF A MULTI–TRAY SOLAR DRYER WITH THERMAL ENERGY STORAGE BED

¹Benjamin Ternenge Abur and ²Muhammad Hadi Ibrahim

^{1,2}Department of Mechanical/Production Engineering, Faculty of Engineering and Engineering Technology, Abubakar Tafawa Balewa University, Bauchi ¹engrbenjaminabur@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

A simple multi-tray natural convection solar dryer incorporating a sensible thermal energy storage bed has been developed using locally sourced materials. The sensible heat material is gravels of 45kg beneath the solar air heater. Test evaluation shows a temperature range of 29 to 56.5°C for the drying chamber while the heat storage bed temperature ranges between 31 to 57.8°C at the uppermost part of the bed. It took 48 hours to reduce the moisture content of 7.50kg of fresh tomatoes at 93% to 5.5% under the weather condition of Bauchi in the month of February. Samples dried with the solar dryer were of superior quality compared to the open sun method. The computed payback period of the solar system ranges from 2.42 to 4.28 years using the annualized cost method and is lower than the expected life of the dryer. Also the amount of money that could be save from using the system compare to an electric powered dryer is worked out including the present worth, annual cash flow and cost of drying.

Keywords. Indirect solar dryer, thermal energy storage material, life cycle cost, payback period.

INTRODUCTION availability of food. A significant Reduction of post harvest losses percentage of these losses are related significantly contribute to the to improper and/or untimely drying

of foodstuffs such as cereals, grains, they are sufficiently dried to the pulses, tubers, meat, fish, vegetables, desire moisture content. This method etc. Drying is a simple process of is characterized by a number of moisture removal from an shortcomings including; lack of agricultural product in order to attain process control, non-uniformity in the desired moisture content and can the dried product, soaking by rain, be achieved through several methods theft and vandalism, contamination such as open-sun drying, solar drying by dust, rodents, and other domestic and mechanized drying. Solar drying animals (Ezekoye and Enebe, 2006; is an energy intensive operation and Ogunkoya *et al.*, 2011).

depends on climatic conditions

(humidity, wind speed, solar Also, prolong open sun drying often radiation, cloud cover, deterioration of etc.) and causes vital drying materials' properties ingredients like vitamins, minerals composition, physical and sensory characters of the dried (chemical structure, size, shape, etc.) (Garg and product and thus, less market value 2000). The removal of (quality falls below and Prakash, local moisture prevents the growth and international market standards). reproduction of microorganisms that However, this method is more causes decay, reduces the product affordable to the farmers. Solar method weight and volume as well as the drying converts sun's transportation cost. The drying of radiation into heat for drying of agricultural produce under the sun is agricultural produce at a superior a common practice most especially in drying rate compared to the open sun developing countries. Traditional drying method with improved quality method of drying involves spreading of dried products at a relatively low the crops on concrete floor, mats, cost. The solar collector supplies the tarred surface roads and other forms product with hot airflow either of material and turned regularly until generated naturally due to density

changes resulting from temperature night hours (Gutti *et al.*, 2012; differences or through forced Shalaby, 2012). This research work convection by incorporating a fan or investigates the sensible heat energy blower in the drying system. Solar storage performance of gravels in an dryers can be constructed from indirect multi-tray solar dryer for locally available materials and are tomatoes drying.

useful in areas where fuel or

electricity is expensive, land for sun Design Consideration

drying is insufficient or expensive. The solar crop dryer is designed for sunshine is plentiful but the air drying of agricultural produce that humidity is high (Fellows, 1997). require low temperature drying in Besides, solar drying offers reduction order to preserve the nutritive value to environmental risk compared to of the crops after drying most mechanized drying method. especially vegetables and fruits. the Different types of solar dryers with Vegetables and fruits were chosen for varying sizes and designs have been the design because of their developed and tested with some importance in the human diet and degree of efficiency. Studies have tomatoes were selected as the shown that solar dryers with produce study. Generally, vegetables auxiliary heating sources such as are seasonal and highly perishable biomass back-up or thermal energy due to high their percentage of storage (sensible heat storage or moisture in them especially at harvest latent heat storage) have better period (Latapi and Barrett, 2006; drying performances in terms of Nwokoye and Okeke 2008; Ehiem et speed and quality of dried product. al., 2009). Solar drying of vegetables The inclusion of the heat storage unit makes available the dried product elongates the service periods of solar which cannot be consume completely dryer systems to overcome low solar at the time of harvest allowing radiation periods and even work at consumers to buy the products on a

basis. Besides the nature of solar radiation year round and availability of the products all year therefore incorporates a heat storage wastages, system which is capable of storing round. it prevents preserved nutritive quality as well as and releasing heat to the drying viability of seeds (Brooker and Fred, chamber during off-sunshine hours 1974; Birewar, 1996; Mu'azu, 2012). using gravel material.

The design looks into the intermittent



Figure 1: Side view of the indirect solar dryer

Solar Energy Collector/Heat Storage $1.4m \times 0.6m \times 0.3m$ (Length \times SystemBreadth \times Height) and a single glassThe solar collector consists of a vee cover with 0.004m thickness. The veecorrugated aluminium sheet as an corrugated aluminium sheet wasabsorber plate with dimensions of painted black on both sides for better

heat absorbing capacity. Underneath distance of 0.2m apart. The effective the solar collector system is the heat dimension of each tray is 0.55m x storage unit which houses the gravels 0.55m (Length \times Breadth). A wire for the heat storage. During a sunny mesh is also riveted to the base of all day, as the air passes through the air the frames of the trays. Each drying inlet of the solar collector unit, the air tray could conveniently hold 2.5kg of gets heated by the absorber plate and fresh tomatoes chips at a time. All the flows into the drying chamber. The trays can be easily reached by an absorber plate also conducts part of average man of height 1.62m. The the heat to the heat storage material bottom of the drying chamber was underneath it. The frame of the solar covered with a base board made of collector/heat storage system was plywood painted black. The use of constructed with plywood and plywood for the walls of the drying properly lagged with saw duct of chamber minimise the rate 50mm thickness to minimize heat absorption by external walls of the losses to the surroundings. drying chamber while the top and

The drying chamber and conical insulation to reduce heat losses in chimney order to maintain a uniform drying

bottom were all covered foam for

The drying chamber frame was chamber temperature. The dryer constructed from a melina wood (soft system has a conical chimney made of wood) with dimensions of $1.350m \times mild$ steel and of 300mm height and 0.6m \times 0.5m (Length \times Breadth \times 100mm diameter for easy of airflow Height). The drying chamber houses to the top. The chimney also contain three trays of aluminium wire mesh exhaust hood to prevent rain or water which holds the produce to be dried, from entering the drying chamber a door for loading and unloading. The from the top.

trays were placed at a vertical

Techno-Economic Analysis The energy output per year from the Cost Economics of Solar Cabinet solar natural multi-tray dryer with a Dryer for Drying of Tomatoes Slices heat storage unit is the thermal The economic feasibility of the solar output from the dryer. The dryer was cabinet dryer for the drying of used to dry 7.5kg of tomatoes tomatoes slices was calculated by (2.5kg/tray). The drying time was 48 considering the initial investment of hours of clear sunny days of 8 hours dryer, average replacement cost of (9.00am to 4.00pm) and the store aluminium wire mesh, cost of raw heat which was used to extend the material and selling price of the drying for 2 and half hours by using material after drying. The cost break the solar dryer. 6.9444kg of moisture up for the solar cabinet dryer as M_R was removed in same period of computed is Forty-Five Thousand, drying from drying 7.5kg of tomatoes Nine Hundred Naira (#45,900). slices. The daily thermal output of the

solar dryer is given as in equation 1

Annual Thermal Outputs (Life Cycle (Sujata et al., 2012): Savings)

(Qu)_{daily} = moisture evaporated (kg) × Latent heat of evaporation (J/kg)

$$\frac{(M_R \times 2.26 \times 10^6)}{(3.6 \times 10^6)} Kwh = 4.3595 kwh$$
... (1)

Therefore, annual thermal output of the dryer, E_{atout} is

=Daily thermal output of the dryer (kWh) $\times \frac{N_c}{2}$...(2) where:

 N_c is the number of clear days in a year Amount saved annually $= E_c \times$ annual thermal output of dryer, kwh ...(3)

where.

 E_c is unit cost of electricity (#26.93/kwh for domestic consumption, Jos Electricity Distribution Company).

The results of the annual thermal output of the dryer, amount saved annually and for the life cycle of the system is computed and given in Table 1. and R_p is aluminium wire mesh replacement cost in every five years to prevent contamination of dried product, n is life of the solar dryer, S is salvage value of the dryer at the end of the life and CF is net cash flow at the end of each year.

Cash-flow

Net Present Value (P_{NPV})

Let P_i be the initial investment, R_m is operational and maintenance expenses per year, If 'i' is annual rate of interest in fraction, then the net present value, P_{NPV} can be expressed as Tiwari (2002):

$$P_{NPV} = \left[P_i + R_m \times \left(\frac{(1+i)^n - 1}{i(1+1)^n} \right) + R_p \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{(1+i)^5} \right) + \left(\frac{1}{(1+i)^{10}} \right) + \dots \right\} - S \times \left(\frac{1}{(1+i)^n} \right) \right\} \dots (4)$$

For life of the system to be 10 years, then equation (4) becomes:

$$P_{NPV} = \left[P_i + R_m \times \left(\frac{(1+i)^{10} - 1}{i(1+i)^{10}} \right) + R_p \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{(1+i)^5} \right) \right\} - S\left(\frac{1}{(1+i)^{10}} \right) \right]$$
...(5)

Annual Income, Cash flow (CF)

Cost of fresh tomatoes for drying per batch = 120 Weight of dried product per batch = 0.5558kg Weight of dried product per year, $W_T = 0.5558 \times \frac{N_c}{2}$... (6)

Average cost of dried tomatoes slices per kg in Bauchi central market = #350 Annual income,

$$I = W_T \times 350$$
...(7)
S is salvage value of the dry

S is salvage value of the dryer at the end of its life which is assume to be 2.5% of the initial investment = #1,147.5

Annualized uniform cost, Unacost (R) Unacost (R) = $P_{NPV} \times F_{PR,i,n}$ $= P_{NPV} \times \left[\frac{i(1+i)^n}{(1+i)^n - 1}\right]$...(8) According to Tiwari (2002), annualized uniform cost, Unacost (R) is defined as the product of net present value of the system and capital recovery factor (CRF) and can be written as:

Unacost (R) = $P_{NPV} \times Capital$ recovery factor (CRF)

The Capital recovery factor (CRF) is expressed as:

$$CRF = F_{PR,i,n} = \left[\frac{i(1+i)^{n}}{(1+i)^{n}-1}\right]$$
...(9)

Cost of drying (C_g)

If Mp is the dried product output per year, kg then cost of drying, C_g is evaluated according to Tiwari (2002) as: Cost of drying (#/kg) = Unacost, R (#)/Dried product output per year, M_p (kg) ...(10) Payback period is the time usually in years required to recover the investment costs. If it is assume that net cash flow, CF remains the same throughout the life cycle of the system, then net present value (P_{NPV}) can be expressed as Tiwari and Tiwari (2007):

Payback Period (n_p)

$$P_{NPV} = CF \times F_{RP,i,np} = CF \left[\frac{(1+i)^{n_p} - 1}{i(1+1)^{n_p}} \right]$$

...(11)
or
$$(1+i)^{n_p} = \left[\frac{CF}{CF - iP_{NPV}} \right]$$

...(12)
or
$$n_p = \frac{In \left[\frac{CF}{CF - iP_{NPV}} \right]}{In(1+i)}$$

...(13)

Calculation procedure

The initial investment, P_i for the solar dryer is #45,900. Equations 4 and 8 were used to compute the net present value P_{NPV} and Unacost, R respectively for different interest rates of 2.5, 5 and 10% with the life of solar dryer as 10 years and the results are given in Table 3 while equations 13 and 10 have been used to determine the payback period, n_p and cost of drying C_g , respectively for different number of clear days, N_c and the results are given in Table 4. The assumption for the interest rate which ranges from 2.5 to 10% depends on obtaining loans from local cooperatives who lend money to its members and non members in the North-Eastern Nigeria at a low interest rate.

Result

Table 1: Annual Thermal Outputs, Amount Saved Annually and Life Cycle

| S/No | N _c | Annual therm | nal | Amount saved | Amount saved for |
|------|----------------|--------------------------|------|--------------|------------------|
| | | outputs, E _{at} | tout | annually (#) | 10 years (#) |
| | | (kwh) | | | |
| 1 | 300 | 653.92 | | 17610.33 | 176103.30 |
| 2 | 270 | 588.53 | | 15849.11 | 158491.10 |
| 3 | 240 | 523.14 | | 14088.16 | 140881.60 |
| 4 | 210 | 457.74 | | 12326.93 | 123269.30 |

| Table 2: Net | Present Val | ue and Una | cost of the I | Oryer for Ter | n Years Life |
|--------------|-------------|------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|
| (n=10 Years) | 1 | | | | |

| P _i (#) | S (#) | R _p , (#) | i, (%) | P _{NPV.} (#) | R, (#) |
|--------------------|--|----------------------|--------|-----------------------|--------|
| 45900 | 900 1147.5 550 2 | | 2.5 | 45489 | 5197 |
| | | | 5 | 45626 | 5905 |
| | | | 10 | 45799 | 7451 |

| Table 3: Net Annual Cash Flow, Pay Back Period, Cost of Drying, Annual Thermal Output and Amo | unt Saved |
|---|-----------|
| Annually for system with heat storage unit. | |

| P _{NPV} | i, (%) | R, (#) | N _C | Number of drying batches | M _P (kg) | CF (#) | Payback period, n _p | C _g (#/kg) |
|------------------|--------|--------|----------------|--------------------------|---------------------|--------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 45489 | 2.5 | 5197 | 300 | 150 | 83 | 19516 | 2.42 | 63 |
| | | | 270 | 135 | 75 | 17564 | 2.71 | 69 |
| | | | 240 | 120 | 67 | 15613 | 3.05 | 78 |
| | | | 210 | 105 | 58 | 13661 | 3.51 | 90 |
| 45626 | 5 | 5905 | 300 | 150 | 83 | 19516 | 2.54 | 71 |
| | | | 270 | 135 | 75 | 17564 | 2.84 | 79 |
| | | | 240 | 120 | 67 | 15613 | 3.23 | 88 |
| | | | 210 | 105 | 58 | 13661 | 3.74 | 102 |
| 45799 | 10 | 7451 | 300 | 150 | 83 | 19516 | 2.81 | 89 |
| | | | 270 | 135 | 75 | 17564 | 3.16 | 99 |
| | | | 240 | 120 | 67 | 15613 | 3.64 | 111 |
| | | | 210 | 105 | 58 | 13661 | 4.28 | 128 |

DISCUSSION

The results for

discouraging the use of renewable annual thermal energy systems.

output, amount saved annually and

for system life cycle are show in table **CONCLUSION**

AND

1 while the number of drying batches **RECOMMENDATIONS**

that could be allow as a result of clear The indirect mode natural convection days in a year, dryer annual output, solar dryer was constructed with payback periods and cost of drying locally available materials at an with variable parameters like net affordable cost. А substantial annual cash flow and annual interest percentage of drying time was rate are shown in table 3. It can be reduced as a result of incorporating a seen in table 3 that the payback heat storage bed as well as superior period increases as the annual cash quality of dried product over open flow decreases as a result of reduction sun method. Though, the net annual in number of clear days. In addition, cash flow is relatively low, moderate it is noted that the interest rate have to bigger drying systems would gave effect on payback period at most better returns and prevent wastage of especially at low annual net cash fresh tomatoes which supply is in flow. Savings from the use of solar excess of its demand during the systems as against or systems which harvest time. It is therefore uses electricity shows a positive trend recommended that government as show in table 1. The cost of drying should support rural farmers with the interest rate renewable energy systems to promote increases as increases. This will significantly affect food sufficiency.

farmers ability to invest into such renewable energy devices if they are borrowing at a higher interest rate mostly from commercial banks thus,

REFERENCES

- Arinze E.A. Adefila S.S. Eke A.B and Akani O.A. (1990). Experimental Evaluation of various Designs of Free Solar Convection Crop Dryers with and without Thermal Storage. Paper Presented at the National Conference of the "NASE" University of Agriculture, Makurdi, Nigeria. 12-15 September.
- Banerjee R. (2005). Capacity Building for Renewable Energy in India. Proceedings of International Congress on Renewable Energy (ICORE 2005), January, Pune India.
- Basunia M. A and Abe .T (2001). Design and Construction of a Simple Three-Shelf Solar Rough Rice Dryer, AMA, Vol. 32, No. 3, pp. 54-59.
- Bena B. and Fuller R. J (2002). Natural Convection Solar Dryer with Biomass Back-

up Heater. Solar Energy, Vol.72, pp. 75–83.

- Benjamin Ternenge Abur. Habou Dan-Dankouta and Gerry Egbo (2014). Food Security: Solar Dryers and Effective Food Preservation. International Iournal of Advanced Engineering Research and Studies. IJAERS, Vol. III, No. II, pp. 166-171.
- Birewar B.R. (1996). Development of Improved on Farm Grain Drying Facility in Nigeria. Journal of Agricultural Mechanization in Asia, Africa and Latin America, Vol. 27, No. 2, pp 51–53.
- Brooker D.B. and Fred W.H (1974). Drying Cereal Grain. The AVI Publishing Company, West Port Connecticut, pp. 24–30.
- Ehiem J.C, Irtwange S.V and Obetta S.E (2009). Design and Development of an

Industrial Fruit and Vegetable Dryer. Research Journal of Applied Sciences, Engineering and Technology, Vol. 1, No. 2, pp. 44–53.

- Ekechukwu, O. V and Norton B (1999). Review of Solar-Energy Drying Systems II: an Overview of Solar Drying Technology, Energy Conversion & Management, Vol. 40, No. 6, pp. 615-655.
- El-Sebaii A.A., Enein Aboul S., Ramadan M. R. I., and El-Gohary H. G (2002). Experimental investigation of an indirect type natural convection solar dryer. Energy Conversion and Management, Vol. 43, pp. 2251-2266.
- Ezekoye B. A. and Enebe O. M. (2006). Development and performance evaluation of modified integrated passive solar grain dryer. The

pacific journal of science and technology, Vol. 7, pp. 185-190.

- Fellows P (1997). Guidelines for Small-Scale Fruit and Vegetable Processors, FAO Agricultural Services Bulletin 127, FAO of the United Nations, Rome.
- Garg H.P and Prakash J (2010). Solar energy: Fundamentals and Applications, First Revised Edition. Tata McGraw Hill Education Private Limited, New Delhi.
- Gutti B. Kiman S and Murtala A. M. (2012). Solar Dryer -An Effective Tool for Agricultural Products Preservation. Journal of Applied Technology in Environmental Sanitation, Vol. 2, No. 1, pp 31-38.
- Karikari S. K. (1989). Harvesting, Handling and Storage of Major Fadama Vegetables Crops. Paper

Presented at the NAERLS, ABU Workshop on "Fadama and Irrigation Development" Held at Bauchi, Nigeria. 9– 12 October.

- and Barrett Latapi G D.M (2006). Influence of Predrying Treatments on Quality and Safety of Sundried Tomatoes. Part II. Effects of Storage on Nutritional and Sensory Quality of Sun-dried.
- Mohanraj M and Chandrasekhar P (2009). Performance of a Forced Convection Solar Drier Integrated with Gravel as Heat Storage Material for Chilli Drying. Journal of Engineering Science and Technology, Vol. 4, No. 3, pp. 305–314.
- Mu'azu K, Bugaje I. M and Mohammed I. A (2012). Performance Evaluation of Forced Air Convection

Vegetable Drying System. Journal of Basic and Applied Scientific Research, Vol. 2, No. 3, pp 2562– 2568.

- Ogunkoya, A. K. Ukoba, K.O and Olindade, B. A (2011). Development of a Low cost solar Dryer. The Pacific Journal of Science and Technology, Vol. 12, No. 1, pp. 98-101.
- Shalaby S.M (2012). Effect of Using Energy Storage Material in an Indirect-Mode Forced Convection Solar Dryer on the Drying Characteristics of Grapes. Journal of Medical and Bio-engineering (JOMB), Vol. 1, No 1, pp 56-58.
- Sujata Nayak, Zeba Naaz, Pushpendra Yadav and Ruchi Chaudhary (2012). Economic Analysis of Hybrid Photovoltaic-Thermal (PVT) Integrated Solar Dryer. International

Journal of Engineering Inventions, Vol. 1, Issue 11, pp. 21–27.

- Thanvi K. P and Pande P. C (1987). Development of a Low-Cost Solar Agricultural Dryer for Arid Regions of India, Energy in Agriculture, Vol. 6, No. 1, pp. 35-40.
- Tiwari G N (2002). Solar Energy, Fundamentals, Design, Modelling and Applications. First edition, Narosa Publishing House, New Delhi, India.
- Youcef-Ali S., Messaoudi H, Desmons J. Y, Abene A and

Le Ray M. (2001). Determination of the Average Coefficient of Internal Moisture Transfer During the Drying of a Thin Bed of Potato Slices. Journal of Food Engineering, Vol. 48, No. 2, pp. 95-101.

Zhimin L, Zhong H, Tang R, Liu T, Gao W and Zhang Y (2006). Experimental Investigation on Solar Drying of Salted Greengages. Renewable Energy Journal, Vol. 31, pp. 837–847

Reference to this paper should be made as follows: Benjamin Ternenge Abur (2019), Life Cycle Cost Analysis of a Multi-Tray Solar Dryer with Thermal Energy Storage Bed. *J. of Engineering and Applied Scientific Research*, Vol. 11, No. 2, Pp. 59-74